

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/692,294	10/19/2000	Erin Hutchinson	18989-012	4290		
30623 7	30623 7590 11/04/2004			EXAMINER		
	'IN, COHN, FERRIS, GL	JUNG, WIL	JUNG, WILLIAM C			
AND POPEO, P.C. ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3737	1. 1		
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004	14		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					- 9
- 201	,	Application No).	Applicant(s)	
		09/692,294		HUTCHINSON ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		William Jung		3737	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cov	er sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication in period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, how n. a reply within the statutory meriod will apply and will expirestatute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be ti ninimum of thirty (30) da e SIX (6) MONTHS fron to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this come ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 February 2004.			
-	·	This action is non-fi	nal.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for fo	ormal matters, pr	osecution as to the n	nerits is
	closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,	1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 33-49 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 33-49 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	ndrawn from conside			
Applicat	ion Påpers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) or	ld in abeyance. Se the drawing(s) is ol	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Besee the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been red ments have been red priority documents l ureau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Applica have been receiv .2(a)).	tion No ved in this National S	tage
2) Notice Notice 3) Information	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date	8) (B/08) 5)	Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I Notice of Informal Other:		152)

Application/Control Number: 09/692,294

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 33-49 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 33-49 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-34 of U. S. Patent No. 6,135,671 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: Claims 33 and 45 disclosed in current application is a method claim of apparatus claims disclosed in Hutchinson et al US 6,135,671. Independent method claims 33 and 45 correspond to apparatus claims 1, 30, 31, and 34. Although Hutchinson et al do not specifically claim third aperture, the invention implies third aperture since the claims anticipates two or more

Art Unit: 3737

apertures with different aperture size. Dependent claims 34-44 and 46-49 in current application are substantially disclosed in claims 2-29, 32, and 33 in Hutchinson et al.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Jung, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 703-605-4364. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ひに October 21, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700